

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/084,353	084,353 02/28/2002		Sebastien Berne	0512-1018	5592
466	7590	90 10/06/2004 EXAMINER			
	ETHOMPS H 23RD STR		CIRIC, LJILJANA V		
2ND FLOO	-	CEET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	ON, VA 22	2202	3753		
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	1100			
•		10/084,35	3	BERNE ET AL.	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	11.6	Art Unit				
		Ljiljana (Lil	) V. Ciric	3753				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	1	17	orrespondence ac	ldress			
Period fo								
THE - External form - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAN unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicant period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication.  1ays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will. by statute. cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 April 2004 ar</u>	d 13 July 2004.					
,	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 and 20-24 is/are pending	g in the application.						
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5,7-13 and 22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				. •			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.						
, —	The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 20		epted or b) objecte	d to by the Exam	iner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
		r foreign priomy and	20, 00 0,0.0.3 , , (4,	, (2) 2. (0)	•			
۵,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				l Stage			
	application from the International							
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/084,353

Art Unit: 3753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office action is in response to the replies filed on July 13, 2004 and on April 1, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1 through 13 and 20 through 24 remain in the application, of which claims 1 through 13 are as amended, either directly or indirectly, whereas claims 20 through 24 are new.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the previously cited prior art rejections of the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Election/Restrictions

4. Claims 2 through 5, 7 through 13, and 22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 12, 2003.

#### **Specification**

5. Receipt and entry of the amended abstract is acknowledged hereby.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/084,353

Art Unit: 3753

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1, 6, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ozawa et al (filed June 14, 2001).

Ozawa et al. [especially Figure 3] discloses a vehicular front unit essentially as claimed, including, for example: at least one optical unit or headlamp 500 including inlet and outlet openings as shown in Figure 3, for example; a heat exchanger or radiator 100; a fan 300, located behind the heat exchanger or radiator 100 relative to the front of the vehicle; a duct 440; an airswept headlamp or optical unit casing or housing 540; and, a shroud readable on blocking member 450.

The reference thus reads on the claims.

8. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,353

Art Unit: 3753

10. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozawa et al. (filed on June 14, 2001) in view of Societe dite Automobiles Peugeot (FR 2,698,055, previously of record).

- 11. As noted in greater detail above, Ozawa et al. discloses a vehicular front unit essentially as claimed, including, for example: at least one optical unit or headlamp 500 including inlet and outlet openings as shown in Figure 3. Nevertheless, Ozawa et al. does not necessarily disclose at least one of these openings as comprising a plurality of baffles. Nevertheless, it is known in the art, and taught by Societe dite Automobiles Peugeot to have one or more baffles or deflectors 72 disposed in the air inlet opening to an airswept (cooled) vehicular optical unit in order to, for example, facilitate controlling the direction and/or speed of the inlet airflow so as to optimize cooling of the headlamp. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the optical unit of Ozawa et al. by including one or more baffles or deflectors 72 as taught by Societe dite Automobiles Peugeot in order to facilitate airflow and heat transfer control within the optical unit as desired.
- 12. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

### Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/084,353

Art Unit: 3753

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3925.

While she works a flexible schedule that varies from day to day and from week to week, Examiner Ciric may generally be reached at the Office during the work week between the hours of 10 a.m. and 6 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene, can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The NEW central official fax phone number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

lvc

October 3, 2004

ZJILJANA V. CIRIC PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753